

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION

STATE OF UTAH, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING  
AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, *et al.*,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§


Case No. 6:25-cv-001-JDK

**ORDER FOR RESPONSE**

Before the Court is Defendants' opposed motion for an extension of time to respond to Plaintiffs' complaint. Docket No. 25. In the motion, Defendants request that the Court extend the deadline by 90 days to allow time to confer with the new leadership of the relevant agencies.

Plaintiffs' reasoning for its opposition is unclear. *See* Docket No. 25 at 4. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** Plaintiffs to file a response to Defendants' motion by **March 12, 2025**. Defendants' deadline to respond to the complaint is stayed until further order of the Court.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **5th** day of **March, 2025**.

  
JEREMY D. KERNODLE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE